**1st Year Lab Reflective Statement: Cycle 2 Lab Report**

*Self-Reflection is an important part of learning, it can help you to develop your skills and review their effectiveness, rather than just carrying on doing things as you have always done them.*

In this cycle we would like you to reflect on the feedback you received for your cycle 1 lab report. Please copy the feedback summary you received into box 1 and use box 2 to briefly describe what you have done to address that feedback in your cycle 2 lab report. The reflective statement does not contribute to your grade.

When you have finished, please add this sheet as a cover page to your lab report and submit the combined document as a single PDF.

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Feedback Summary from Cycle 1 lab report:** 2. How have you responded to the feedback you received in cycle 1? |
| **- Very good effort. The general structure and contents are satisfactory.**  **- The general organisation and the style of the report are very inconsistent. Try to keep the fonts the same size, colour and style throughout the report.**   * Fonts in report are all in Times New Roman instead of LaTeX font as previously used. There is consistency among the fonts in the main body, and among captions. * Remove unnecessary highlighting, different fonts, dropcaps was deemed too “fancy”. * The font size of the references is now increased to the same scaling as previously mentioned (last time I didn’t realise that the references didn’t count towards the page limit!) * Figure captions are now consistently below each respective figure as mentioned. * Irrelevant code snippets removed. Instead mentioned briefly in the Appendix. * More spacing added between sections.   **- Refer to other peer-reviewed scientific publications for what the standard format is. (Avoid any 'fancy' styling since they are not really necessary).**   * Removal of dropcaps and the use of different fonts to refer to code. * IEEE referencing used throughout references section * Removal of hyperlinks from referencing, and far fewer references from websites.   **- Figures need to be of better quality in general with appropriate sizing.**   * Removal of photographic diagrams of experimental set-up. * All diagrams are now schemiatic representations with at least 500dpi. * No more hand-drawn figures, instead created using digital software. * Figures are scaled as large as column margins permit. * Attempted to increase text clarity in figures where possible. |